Fact sheet – Impact of increased feed intake during late gestation on reproductive performance of gilts and sows
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**FACT Sheet: Impact of increased feed intake during late gestation on reproductive performance of gilts and sows**

“Bump feeding” during late gestation is a widely used practice, generally defined as increasing daily feed intake by about 1 kg from day 90 of gestation to farrowing. The goal is to provide the gestating sow the extra energy and amino acids needed in late gestation to satisfy the exponential growth of the conceptus. Unfortunately, almost all the reported studies in this phase of gestation evaluated increasing feed intake and thus increased intake of all nutrients, including energy, amino acids, vitamins, and minerals. Most likely, as indicated by modeling, the requirement for these nutrients is not increased proportionally. From a practical perspective, increasing feed intake rather than specific nutrients is easier to accomplish, since the daily feed allowance can be increased without the need for a different diet or supplement.

**What is the impact of increasing feed intake in late gestation on sow characteristics?**

**Body weight gain.** Increasing feed allowance by 1 kg per day during late gestation increased sow body weight gain by 6.9 ± 0.8 kg (Table 1).  

**Backfat.** Gilts and sows fed 2.3 kg daily during late gestation lost 1.6 mm of backfat, whereas backfat was not altered in those fed 3.9 kg daily, and this difference was maintained until weaning. However, other research found no evidence that increasing feed intake influenced backfat.

**Lactation feed intake.** Two studies had no evidence for differences when evaluating control versus increased feed intake during late gestation, whereas a third study observed that gilts with increased feed intake during late gestation consumed 17% less feed during lactation. However, it has been reported that increased feed allowance during the whole gestation period reduces feed intake during lactation.  

**Weight loss during lactation.** One study observed more weight loss during lactation when feed intake was increased from 1.8 to 3.1 kg per day in late gestation, whereas another observed an interaction (P = .02) between parity and feeding level in which weight loss during lactation in the subsequent cycle was greater in gilts fed increased feed intake, but there was no difference for sows.

**Wean-to-estrus interval.** Two studies observed no evidence of altered wean-to-estrus interval (WEEI), whereas one study observed a 0.4-day shorter WEEI for gilts fed an extra 0.9 kg per day above maintenance, with no evidence for differences in sows.

**What is the impact of increasing feed intake in late gestation on litter characteristics?**

**Total born.** Several studies have observed no evidence for differences in total born when the daily feed allowance was increased beyond the nutritional requirement during late gestation, as expected due to total born being defined in the first third of gestation. One experiment observed a tendency (P < .10) for an increase in total born when gilts and sows were fed 3.1 kg per day compared to 1.8 kg per day during late gestation.

**Born alive and stillborn rate.** The impact on born alive and stillborn rate is not consistent between studies. An earlier study observed a tendency for more piglets born alive (9.7 versus 10.0; P = .06), whereas other studies have observed no difference. A recent study conducted in a large-scale commercial research facility observed a smaller number of born-alive pigs due to a higher stillborn rate (6.5% versus 4.4%) in sows on a high energy intake compared to a low energy intake, but no effect in gilts.

**Total litter birth weight:** Increased feed intake during late gestation had a positive impact in one study for gilt litters; however, three other studies observed no differences in total litter birth weight.

**Individual piglet birth weight:** An earlier study observed a 40-g higher individual born-alive piglet birth weight for females fed increased amounts of feed during late gestation, independent of parity. Two additional studies observed this positive impact of increasing feed intake during late gestation in gilts, but not in sows. However, individual piglet birth weight might have been confounded with litter size in one of the studies, whereas amino acids might have been deficient in the control diet in the other study. However, a third study evaluated increasing feed intake from a higher basal level (7.5 versus 12.7 ME Mcal per day) than did previous studies and found no differences. A recent study observed that increased feed intake during late gestation increased individual born-alive piglet birth weight by 30 g per piglet. That study observed that this improvement was influenced by high energy rather than high amino acid intake.

**Pre-weaning mortality.** Several researchers were unable to detect evidence of an influence on pre-weaning mortality when feed intake was increased during late gestation. A recent study observed a 1.2% reduction in pre-weaning mortality in piglets suckling from females fed 20 g lysine per day compared with females fed 10.7 g lysine per day during late gestation.

**Piglet weaning weight.** While one study observed higher piglet wean weight (5.20 versus 5.37 kg) from females fed increased amounts of feed during late gestation, two others observed no differences. Other studies measured birth weight, but not weaning weight.

**Fast facts**

Each 1 kg per day increase in feed intake increases body weight gain of gilts and sows by 7 kg from day 90 of gestation to farrowing.

Effects of “bump feeding” on individual piglet birth weight are modest, averaging an increase of 28 g per piglet.

The impact on piglet birth weight appears to be related to increased energy rather than amino acid intake.
Estimated economic impact. An economic model was conducted using a dataset with 5186 individual observations of piglets from birth to carcass. The model accounted for different survivability and growth performance from birth to carcass and assumed 0.9 kg extra feed per day for the last 21 days of gestation at a feed cost of $0.24 per kg. The estimated impact of changing the population's average piglet birth weight by 28 g has a modest net impact on feed cost of approximately $0.46 per marketed pig.

On the basis of our review, more research is clearly needed to examine feeding management practices for highly prolific sows. In conclusion, each 1-kg increase in daily feed allowance during late gestation is associated with approximately 7 kg of additional body weight gain for gilts and sows. The impact of increased feed intake during late gestation on piglet birth weight is modest and appears to be associated with an increase in energy rather than amino acid intake. A descriptive summary of the literature showed that piglets from females that received increased feed intake during late gestation were on average 28 ± 20.4 g heavier at birth.
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