CASE REPORT

Severe outbreak of adventitious sternal bursitis in a pig herd in Central Italy
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Summary
This case report describes the main features of an outbreak of adventitious sternal bursitis that severely affected a pig herd in Central Italy between April and May 2016. All cases involved pigs aged 4 to 5 months, originating from the same farm and sharing the same genetic background. Concurrent infections with beta-hemolytic streptococci were observed. The intrinsic and extrinsic causative factors, as well as the significance of this disease in pigs, are herein reviewed and discussed.

Keywords: swine, adventitious bursitis, beta-hemolytic streptococci

Received: September 20, 2016
Accepted: February 6, 2017

Under conventional (ie, intensive) breeding conditions, pigs are often exposed to stressful and harmful environments. As a consequence, traumatic lesions are commonly observed in pigs of different ages, from the farrowing crates to the abattoir. Among those are pressure-induced injuries due to inappropriate flooring, which include calluses, focal skin necrosis, claw fissures and erosions, decubitus ulcers, and “bursitis.”^1^2

Strictly speaking, bursitis means inflammation of a bursa, which is a small sac-like cavity lined with a synovial membrane and filled with synovial fluid.3 Bursae are physiologically located around joints and serve to decrease friction where muscles and tendons glide over bones.4 Although used improperly, the terms “adventitious bursa and bursitis” indicate an acquired fluid-filled sac which develops within the subcutis – where “normal” bursae do not exist – after persistent trauma to the skin overlying the bony prominences.35 Adventitious bursitis can affect piglets as young as 1 to 2 weeks old and becomes more evident in growing pigs (body weight 30 to 70 kg), when the lesions increase in size and large amounts of fluid accumulate.1^6^7

We report herein the main and peculiar features of a severe outbreak of adventitious bursitis that affected a pig herd in Central Italy.

Case description
The present outbreak occurred in a medium-sized farrow-to-finish pig farm. The herd consisted of two barns (A and B), located approximately 5 km apart and under the same management. Barn A housed approximately 500 sows (Landrace × Large White) and their piglets, which were weaned at 28 to 35 days and therein reared up to 2 months of age. Pigs were then moved to Barn B and raised to market weight. As usual for the Italian pork industry, pigs were marketed at 9 to 10 months of age (average body weight 160 kg) to produce typical seasoned hams and salami.

The herd was free from pseudorabies and vesicular disease, and positive for porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus, Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae, and Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae. Sows were regularly vaccinated against pseudo-rabies, erysipelas, and porcine parvovirus,
While pigs were vaccinated only against pseudorabies. Both barns had slatted floors without bedding. No all in-all out strategy was carried out.

During the previous few months, the farm’s management had undergone major changes. Gilt replacement ceased, greatly reducing the number of sows. At the same time, in April 2016, 2500 growing pigs (commercial hybrids, approximately 25 kg body weight), were purchased and housed in Barn B.

Also in Barn B, between April and May 2016, a relevant number of pigs (approximately 30 of 2800) developed impressive swellings in the sternal region. The prevalence of these lesions is likely to have been higher, since they were often discrete and detectable only after careful inspection. Sternal lesions affected only the newly introduced pigs, becoming evident within the few weeks after their arrival (Figure 1). Herd history showed that sternal swellings had occasionally occurred in Barn A in suckling and weaned piglets, which usually recovered within a few weeks (Figure 2). In two pigs, such lesions were so severe as to require euthanasia (Figure 3). Both euthanized pigs were necropsied and submitted to cytological (MGG quick stain; Bio-Optica, Milano, Italy) and bacteriological investigations (culture and antimicrobial sensitivity). In addition, lesions were sampled, fixed in 10% buffered formalin, and routinely processed for histopathology (hematoxylin and eosin stain).

At necropsy, the lesions appeared well circumscribed and surrounded by enlarged lymph nodes. On cut section, the lesions consisted of a thick fibrotic wall and contained many liters of a watery, brownish, fetid exudate (Figure 4). Cytology revealed that such exudates contained a large number of neutrophils and bacterial aggregates (coccii). Histologically, the lesion wall consisted of dense connective tissue embedded with a number of foci of purulent inflammation and bacterial aggregates, and lined with a continuous layer of necrotic tissue on the inner side.

A few days later, three more pigs were sampled for diagnostic purposes. Approximately 10 mL of fluid, aseptically collected by means of a needle and syringe, was submitted to the diagnostic laboratory of the University of Teramo (Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Teramo, Italy) for cytological and standard bacteriological investigations.

In all pigs under study, bacteriological culture on sheep blood agar yielded isolation of pure cultures of beta-hemolytic, Gram-positive, catalase-negative and oxidase-negative cocci, which were identified as Streptococcus agalactiae by means of biochemical tests (API 20 STREP; bioMérieux Italia, Bagno a Ripoli, Firenze, Italy). Results were interpreted using the API 20 STREP V8.0 software. The API 20 STREP profile is shown in Figure 5. Antimicrobial susceptibility disk diffusion tests showed that isolates were susceptible to β-lactams (amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid), cephalosporins (cefazolin, cefoperazone), and quinolones (enrofloxacin).

On the basis of the obtained results, the diagnosis of adventitious bursitis, complicated by secondary streptococcal infection, was made. Approximately 30 pigs with severe lesions were sent to slaughter before they reached market weight. No additional cases of sternal bursitis were observed. However, in a high percentage of finishing pigs (approximately 50%) large swellings occurred below the hock. In most pigs, the lesions regressed after treatment with ampicillin by intramuscular injection.

Discussion
Adventitious bursaea and bursitis are considered to be the result of “pathological responses to an environment that is less than ideal.” Although adventitious bursitis can develop anywhere, it most commonly arises on the boney prominences of the hocks and elbows.1,4 The etiology and pathogenesis of adventitious bursitis is considered multi-factorial. A growing body of evidence indicates that a key role is played by the floor type and, more generally, by floor quality and pen conditions that increase the likelihood of injuries. Further extrinsic and interrelated factors include stocking density and the presence of bedding in the resting area.1,3,5,6,10

As a consequence, adventitious bursae or bursitis can represent a relevant issue for pig...

Figure 1: Pigs in a farrow-to-finish farm into which 2500 growing pigs (25 kg body weight) had recently been introduced (Barn B). Both pigs had developed impressive, symmetrical swellings at the cranial end of the thorax within a few weeks after their arrival. Similar lesions affected approximately 30 pigs. The pig on the left had a very large lesion shaped like a soccer ball, which rubbed on the floor and developed traumatic injuries. Skin injuries foster bacterial infections, worsening the outcome of such lesions.
extrinsic factors caused the present outbreak, which so severely involved a large number of pigs in quite a short time. The concurrent onset of hock bursitis further supports such an assumption.

Improving the quality of flooring surfaces is explicitly considered by the European legislation for the protection of pigs (Council Directive 2008/120/EC), which lays down the design requirements for the various categories of animals. The farm herein investigated complies with such rules. However, the present report suggests that improving floor quality may not be sufficient to ensure animal welfare and makes adoption of additional measures desirable (eg, the use of straw bedding).

The time course in this outbreak might support an etiological role also for the genetic background of the pigs, although that is difficult to prove, assess, and explain. Moreover, the farm manager reported that the affected pigs spent more time than usual lying on the floor; such behavior, probably linked to foot and (or) leg injuries and discomfort, might have further contributed to the onset of bursitis.

According to the literature, bacterial infections likely exacerbate sternal lesions, which often reached an impressive size as in this case. *Streptococcus agalactiae* (Lancefield’s group B streptococcus) is known to be a major agent of contagious mastitis in cattle and is considered a human health hazard. Biomolecular and serological typing indicate that human and bovine *S agalactiae* represent largely distinct populations. Occasionally, *S agalactiae* has been isolated from lesions in pigs. However, concerns still remain about the true identity of streptococci isolated from such lesions. There may be some confusion in distinguishing *S agalactiae* from *Streptococcus porcinus* and *Streptococcus pseudoporcinus*. The wide zone of hemolysis, along with some biochemical features observed in these isolates (negative reaction to the hippurate hydrolysis test, positive reactions to the pyrolidonyl arylamidase and Voges Proskauer tests) might argue against identification of *S agalactiae* and stimulate further serological and biomolecular investigations.

Rapid growth has been suggested as a further intrinsic predisposing factor. A kind of sternal bursitis (so-called “breast blister”) is recognized in chickens and turkeys, caused by prolonged pressure from sitting. The morbidity of breast blisters in poultry can exceed 50% and is often exacerbated by *Staphylococcus* species infection. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, no data are currently available concerning the etiology, occurrence, or prevalence of adventitious sternal bursitis in pigs. It may be assumed that the described mixture of intrinsic and

Figure 2: Piglet from a farrowing crate (Barn A). A prominent swelling was evident at the sternal region in a 4-week-old suckling piglet. On palpation, the fluid content could be easily appreciated.
Implications
- This case report documents that the sternal region can be severely affected by adventitious bursitis.
- Streptococcal infections can be identified in adventitious sternal bursitis lesions, but the routine biochemical characterization of streptococci may be misleading and should be complemented by additional investigations.
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**Figure 5:** Biochemical profile of the bacterial isolates from the fluid in the lesion shown in figures 3 and 4. Biochemical tests were carried out by means of the API 20 STREP method (bioMérieux Italia, Bagno a Ripoli, Firenze, Italy) and interpreted by the API 20 STREP V8.0 software. *Streptococcus agalactiae* was confirmed with a high percent of identity (85.2%). Assuming that *S agalactiae* contributed to the development of adventitious bursitis in this case, most relevant unexpected results were negative hippuric acid hydrolysis (HIP; 99%) and positive pyrrolidonyl arylamidase (PYRA; 1%). VP = Voges Proskauer (acetoin production); HIP = hippuric acid hydrolysis; ESC = β-glucosidase hydrolysis; PYRA = pyrrolidonyl arylamidase; αGAL = α-galactosidase; βGUR = β-glucuronidase; βGAL = β-galactosidase; PAL = alkaline phosphatase; LAP = leucine aminopeptidase; ADH = arginine dihydrolase; RIB = D-ribose acidification; ARA = L-arabinose acidification; MAN = D-mannitol acidification; NAG = N-acetyl-D-glucosaminidase; ARA = L-arabinose acidification; LAC = D-lactose acidification; TRE = D-trehalose acidification; INU = inulin acidification; AMP = starch acidification; GLYG = glycogen acidification.  
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